

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

This is a reply to the above-noted divisional application in response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment of July 8, 2004 and the Office Action of May 5, 2004.

***Amendments to the Claims***

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 9 and 10 have been canceled herein.

New Claims 70, 71 and 72 have been added herein.

Claims 70, 71 and 72 remain in the application.

As set forth in Paragraph 3 of the Office Action, Claims 1, 4-5 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C 102 (e) as being anticipated by Eida et al. (U.S. 6,344,712). These rejected Claims 1, 4-5 have been canceled. Claim 10 has also been canceled.

As set forth in Paragraph 5 of the Office Action, Claim 2 stands rejected under 35 U.S. C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eida et al. in view of Huang et al. (U.S. 5,929,474). Rejected Claim 2 has been canceled.

As set forth in Paragraph 6 of the Office Action, Claim 9 stands objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the claim and any intervening claims. Objected to Claim 9 depends directly from rejected base Claim 1.

Claims 1 and 9 have been canceled and new independent Claim 70 has been added. New Claim 70 includes all of the limitations of Claims 1 and 9 therein. In Paragraph 7 of the Office Action, the Examiner states that the reason for the indication of the allowable subject matter is that the combination of the subject matter of claim 1 and claim 9 (new Claim 70) provides a combination of elements which is not found, taught or fairly suggested by the prior art made of record alone or in combination. For these reasons, Applicants respectfully submits that Claim 70 clearly defines of the cited art and is in condition for allowance.

Appl. No. 10/616,395  
Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment dated 07/20/04  
Reply to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment of 07/08/04

Claims 71 and 72 depend directly from Claim 70 and add further limitations thereto. Claim 71 sets forth that the color filter material is an imageable material. Claim 72 sets forth that the color filter material is a colorant. For the reasons set forth above pertaining to independent Claim 70, Applicants respectfully submits that Claims 71 and 72 clearly defines of the cited art and is in condition for allowance.

***Amendments to the Drawings***

Enclosed is a copy of Figure 7 showing proposed changes thereon, marked in red, which clearly overcome the objections to the drawings set forth in Paragraph 1 of the Office Action.

For all of the above reasons, Applicants submit that the Specification and Claims are now in proper form, and that the Claims all patentably define over the prior art. Therefore, Applicants submit that this Application is now in condition for allowance, which action they respectfully solicit.

Respectfully submitted,



Gaetano D. Maccarone  
Registration No. 25,173

Polaroid Corporation  
Patent Department  
1265 Main Street  
Waltham, MA 02451  
Tel.: 781-386-6405  
Fax: 781-386-6435

Appl. No. 10/616,395  
Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment dated 07/20/04  
Reply to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment of 07/08/04

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date: July 20, 2004

  
Gaetano D. Maccarone  
Registration No. 25,173